

# Exhibit 11

---

**From:** Igor Litvak <Igor@LitvakLawNY.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 19, 2022 8:36 AM  
**To:** Laura Harris; Luke Roniger  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson; Sumon Dantiki; Matthew Bush; Paul Weeks  
**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Dmitry Starovikov, et al. (1:21-CV-10260)

**CAUTION: MAIL FROM OUTSIDE THE FIRM**

Laura, I never said that my clients preserved, had in their possession or intended to produce any devices subject to discovery, I am not sure where you got that from. The last time we discussed this issue I told you all the devices were returned to Valtron when they finished working for Valtron, and therefore, they do not have any devices in their possession that are discoverable, there is no contradiction. Perhaps maybe you misunderstood me or I misspoke, I am not sure, but to clarify, right now my clients do not have any devices in their possession that would be subject to discovery and there is no way for them to get those devices back from Valtron. There is nothing else my clients can provide in the initial disclosures. Igor.

Igor Litvak, Esq.  
The Litvak Law Firm, PLLC  
1733 Sheepshead Bay Road, Suite 22  
Brooklyn, NY 11235  
Tel/Fax: 718-989-2908  
EMail: Igor@LitvakLawNY.com  
Website: www.nyccrimelawyer.com

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:**

This E-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of this E-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this E-mail in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender, delete this copy from your system and destroy any hard copy that you may have made. Thank you.

---

**From:** Laura Harris <lharris@kslaw.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 18, 2022 10:24 PM  
**To:** Igor Litvak <Igor@LitvakLawNY.com>; Luke Roniger <LRoniger@KSLAW.com>  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <amichaelson@kslaw.com>; Sumon Dantiki <sdantiki@kslaw.com>; Matthew Bush <mbush@kslaw.com>; Paul Weeks <PWeeks@KSLAW.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Google LLC v. Dmitry Starovikov, et al. (1:21-CV-10260)

Thank you, Igor. Please also confirm whether your clients intend to continue to object to the production of electronic devices, or if your clients contend they no longer possess any devices.

---

**Laura Harris**  
*Partner*

T: +1 212 790 5360 | E: lharris@kslaw.com | [Bio](#) | [vCard](#)

King & Spalding LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036



kslaw.com

**From:** Igor Litvak <Igor@LitvakLawNY.com>

**Sent:** Monday, July 18, 2022 10:22 PM

**To:** Laura Harris <lharris@kslaw.com>; Luke Roniger <LRoniger@KSLAW.com>

**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <amichaelson@kslaw.com>; Sumon Dantiki <sdantiki@kslaw.com>; Matthew Bush <mbush@kslaw.com>; Paul Weeks <PWeeks@KSLAW.com>

**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Dmitry Starovikov, et al. (1:21-CV-10260)

**CAUTION: MAIL FROM OUTSIDE THE FIRM**

Laura, my clients have nothing else to add to the initial disclosures, you can seek whatever relief you think is appropriate with the court and I will respond at the appropriate time, thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, July 18, 2022 10:16:28 PM

**To:** Igor Litvak <[Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>

**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Paul Weeks <[PWeeks@KSLAW.com](mailto:PWeeks@KSLAW.com)>

**Subject:** RE: Google LLC v. Dmitry Starovikov, et al. (1:21-CV-10260)

Igor,

I'm writing to follow up on our June 27 call and your clients' amended initial disclosures.

As set forth in our earlier correspondence and as we discussed on our call, the initial disclosures your clients produced were materially deficient in several respects. Your amended disclosures fail to resolve those issues and continue to raise serious concerns regarding your clients' credibility—it strains credulity that they are unable to determine the last names of virtually all of their co-workers, notwithstanding that they appear to have personal and business addresses for those individuals. We will therefore seek appropriate relief with the Court.

The amended disclosures also provoke questions regarding your fulfillment of your obligations under Rule 11. We are troubled by your representations on our call that (i) your clients do not "have" any relevant devices, and, in apparent contradiction to that representation, that (ii) any devices they do "have" are owned by Valtron and therefore are not discoverable. As you will recall, you represented several weeks ago—in connection with our discussions concerning the Case Management Plan that both parties signed and submitted to the Court—that your clients had preserved and intended to produce relevant devices. Needless to say, we are surprised to learn that is no longer the case.

Regardless, the question whether any particular device is owned by Valtron is irrelevant. If your clients have the practical ability to obtain documents or devices that might be relevant to the case, they are obligated to produce those materials. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a) (authorizing requests for production of materials "which are in the possession, custody or control of the party upon whom the request is served"); *In re Flag Telecom Holdings, Ltd. Sec. Litig.*, 236 F.R.D. 177, 180 (S.D.N.Y. 2006) ("If the producing party has the legal right or the practical ability to obtain" the materials in question, then that party "is deemed to have 'control,' even if the documents are actually in the possession of a non-party."). This is particularly true where, as here, employees of a company use or have access to the materials in question as part of their employment. *Flag Telecom*, 236 F.R.D. at 181 (requiring production of non-party documents where "employees [we]re permitted to utilize the documents in the course of employment, as they must in order to perform their jobs"). Accordingly, we expect that your clients have preserved and will produce any devices or materials used in

connection with their employment, and that may have any information relevant to the claims and defenses in the case. *See In re NTL Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 244 F.R.D. 179 (S.D.N.Y. 2007) (imposing sanctions on party that failed to preserve and produce relevant evidence in possession of non-party).

Please let us know immediately if you intend to continue to object to the production for inspection of any electronic devices, or if your clients contend they no longer possess any devices.

Regards,  
Laura

---

**Laura Harris**  
*Partner*

T: +1 212 790 5360 | E: [lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com) | [Bio](#) | [vCard](#)

King & Spalding LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036



[kslaw.com](http://kslaw.com)

---

**From:** Igor Litvak <[Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 27, 2022 9:36 PM  
**To:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Paul Weeks <[PWeeks@KSLAW.com](mailto:PWeeks@KSLAW.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Dmitry Starovikov, et al. (1:21-CV-10260)

---

**CAUTION: MAIL FROM OUTSIDE THE FIRM**

---

Defendant's amended initial disclosures are attached.

Igor Litvak, Esq.  
The Litvak Law Firm, PLLC  
1733 Sheepshead Bay Road, Suite 22  
Brooklyn, NY 11235  
Tel/Fax: 718-989-2908  
EMail: [Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)  
Website: [www.nyccrimelawyer.com](http://www.nyccrimelawyer.com)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:**

This E-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of this E-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this E-mail in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender, delete this copy from your system and destroy any hard copy that you may have made. Thank you.

---

**From:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 9:09 AM  
**To:** Igor Litvak <[Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>

**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Paul Weeks <[PWeeks@KSLAW.com](mailto:PWeeks@KSLAW.com)>

**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Dmitry Starovikov, et al. (1:21-CV-10260)

Igor,  
We will circulate a Teams link for 10a ET on Monday.

Thank you,  
Laura

Laura Harris  
King & Spalding  
M: +1 917 767 6383

---

**From:** Igor Litvak <[igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, June 24, 2022 1:04:52 AM

**To:** Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>

**Cc:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>; Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Paul Weeks <[PWeeks@KSLAW.com](mailto:PWeeks@KSLAW.com)>

**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Dmitry Starovikov, et al. (1:21-CV-10260)

**CAUTION: MAIL FROM OUTSIDE THE FIRM**

Hi, I will discuss with my clients amending the initial disclosures tomorrow, and hopefully will obtain additional information to address your concerns. Can we meet and confer Monday morning, Thank you??

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>

**Sent:** Thursday, June 23, 2022 9:10:40 AM

**To:** Igor Litvak <[igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>

**Cc:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>; Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Paul Weeks <[PWeeks@KSLAW.com](mailto:PWeeks@KSLAW.com)>

**Subject:** Google LLC v. Dmitry Starovikov, et al. (1:21-CV-10260)

Igor, please see the attached correspondence.

Thank you.

---

**Luke Roniger**  
*Senior Associate*

T: +1 512 457 2044 | E: [Ironiger@kslaw.com](mailto:Ironiger@kslaw.com) | [Bio](#) | [vCard](#)

King & Spalding LLP  
500 West 2nd Street  
Suite 1800  
Austin, Texas 78701



[kslaw.com](http://kslaw.com)

King & Spalding Confidentiality Notice:

This message is being sent by or on behalf of a lawyer. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message. [Click here to view our Privacy Notice.](#)